

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,760	06/01/2006	Jonas Min Knarvik	P17596USPC	6183
29078 CHRISTIAN I	7590 04/09/200 O ABEL	8	EXAMINER	
ONSAGERS AS POSTBOKS 6963 ST. OLAVS PLASS NORWAY, N-0130			VANAMAN, FRANK BENNETT	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NORWAY				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/595,760 KNARVIK, JONAS MIN

066 4-4 0	1						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Frank B. Vanaman	3618					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DV. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after 51% (6) MOTHIS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply with the set or extended period for reply with 1.9 statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	_						
		Evaminer					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage				
application from the International Bureau	•	od III diio i vadona	olage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.					
	'						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application					

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/3/06.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,760 Page 2

Art Unit: 3618

Priority

 Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

 Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement, filed Aug. 3, 2006, has been considered.

Specification

- The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because (1) it should be provided on a separate sheet containing only the abstract and (2) the text of the provided abstract is too long. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 4. The specification as filed lacks the headings preferred in the framing of a U.S. Patent application. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/595,760

Art Unit: 3618

(I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are replete with confusing and indefinite language as well as terms lacking a clear antecedent basis or inconsistent recitations.
Examples of confusing language in applicant's claims follow:

In claim 1, lines 1-2, it is not clear what surface is being referred to by "also on another surface"; in claim 1, lines 4-5, "the curved front surface" lacks a clear antecedent basis as does "the surface for the board" (line 6), "the front edge" (line 8), "the side areas" (line 10), "the central region (line 15 - apparently referring to the top board for which a central region was not initially recited); "the underlying area" (lines 17-18); "the central area" (line 22 - it appears as though this may be a reference to the 'central portion'); "the transition" (line 25); "the substantially curved face part" (lines 26-27). Regarding claims 1 and 3, the phrases "preferably also" (claim 1, line 8; claim 1, line 19), "preferably" (claim 11, line 2), and "for example" (claim 3, line 4) render the claims indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrases are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). In claim 1, line 10, it is not clear precisely how the recited depressions are to be likened to a well (also note claim 4, lines 1-2) or which attributes of a well are and are not to be applied to the recitation; note a similar condition with "saddle-like" in claim 8); in claim 1, line 16, it is not clear whether or not the "airtight bag" is the same as the "air bag" recited in claim 11: in claim 1, line 17, it is not entirely clear in what way the recited cut out is 'similar' to the recited depression; in claim 1, line 21, it is not clear how the use of "advantageously" modifies the recitation; in claim 2, lines 2-3, it is not clear whether or not the material presented in parentheses is intended to form a part of the recitation or not; in claim 3, lines 2-3, "the fastening means" lacks a clear antecedent basis; regarding claim 10 (see line 4), the

Application/Control Number: 10/595,760

Art Unit: 3618

phrase "or the like" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "or the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

This is not an exhaustive listing, rather it is an exemplary listing <u>only</u>. Each and every claim should be carefully reviewed and revised for clarity under 35 USC §112 second paragraph.

6. The examiner notes that the pending claims are replete with numerous instances of unclear language, and applicant is reminded that rejections under 35 USC §102 and 103 should not be based upon considerable speculation as to the meaning of the terms employed and assumptions as to the scope of the claims when the claims are not definite. See In re Steele 305 F.2d 859, 862, 134 USPQ 292, 295 (CCPA 1962). When no reasonably definite meaning can be ascribed to certain terms in a claim, the subject matter does not become anticipated or obvious, but rather the claim becomes indefinite. See In re Wilson 424 F.2d 1382, 1385, 165 USPQ 494, 496 (CCPA 1970). As such, the currently pending claims may be subject to prior art rejections not set forth herein upon the clarification of the claim language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claim 3, as best understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Echols (US 5,083,955). Echols teaches a device for securing a towing line (15) or rope to a device (12) that is to be towed or pulled across a surface (figure 1), characterized in that the fastening means consists of a slot (34) formed at the front end of the device (12), having an enlarged area (at the rear of 34) for insertion of an enlarged end piece (31) mounted on an offshoot (33) of the towing line.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/595,760

Art Unit: 3618

Allowable Subject Matter

- Claim 1, as best understood, would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action
- 10. Claims 2 and 4-11, as best understood, would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Dallera et al. (US 3,455,571, Cashmere (US 4,561,664), Morris (US 4,669,992), Chi-Hung (US 4,807,554), Maass (US 4,928,983), Churchill (US 5,713,773), Peterson (US 6,171,161), Van Gelder (US 6,477,976), and Fireman et al. (US 6,623,018) teach recreational devices of pertinence.
- Any inquiry specifically concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F. Vanaman whose telephone number is 571-272-6701.

Any inquiries of a general nature or relating to the status of this application may be made through either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop

Commissioner for Patents

P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Or faxed to:

PTO Central Fax: 571-273-8300

F. VANAMAN Primary Examiner Art Unit 3618

/Frank B Vanaman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3618